**PERIODIC TABLE**

**Illuminating chemistry’s outer reaches**

A renaissance in actinide chemistry is taming the periodic table’s frontier

MARK PEPLow, special to C&EN

Oct. 11, 1939. Europe is at war, and US president Franklin D. Roosevelt has just received a fateful letter from Albert Einstein. Noting that “the element uranium may be turned into a new and important source of energy in the immediate future,” Einstein adds, “it is conceivable . . . that extremely powerful bombs of a new type may thus be constructed.” The letter spurred government action that ultimately led to the Manhattan Project and the dawn of the nuclear age.

When Einstein wrote that message, uranium was the heaviest known element and sat immediately below tungsten in the periodic table. It didn’t hold either position for long. In early 1940, researchers at the University of California, Berkeley, used their new cyclotron particle accelerator to slam neutrons into a uranium-238 target, creating a heavier element they named neptunium. By switching from neutrons to deuterium nuclei, the scientists produced plutonium later that year; americium and curium followed in 1944, prompting Glenn T. Seaborg to shift the periodic table’s basement down a level to accommodate the growing family of synthetic elements. By the 1960s, nuclear alchemists had completed the full set of 15, from actinium to lawrencium. The actinides had arrived.

After the Promethean thrill of creating these elements, researchers knuckled down to understand their chemistry. It was no mean task: every single actinide isotope is radioactive, and most of them are vanishingly rare. But by the end of the 20th century, there was a widespread view that actinide chemistry was a dying field, says Thomas E. Albrecht-Schmitt of Florida State University. Thorium and uranium had been thoroughly studied, the exotic heavier actinides appeared boringly similar to lanthanides,

---

**Meet the actinides**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Year of discovery/creation</th>
<th>Electron configuration after [Rn] core</th>
<th>Oxidation states (bold = most common)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>$6d^1,7s^2$</td>
<td>+2, +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>$6d^2,7s^2$</td>
<td>+1, +2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>$5f^2,6d^1,7s^2$</td>
<td>+2, +3, +4, +5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>$5f^3,6d^1,7s^2$</td>
<td>+1, +2, +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>$5f^4,6d^1,7s^2$</td>
<td>+2, +3, +4, +5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>$5f^5,7s^2$</td>
<td>+1, +2, +3, +4, +6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>$5f^7,7s^2$</td>
<td>+2, +3, +4, +6, +7, +8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Los Alamos National Laboratory’s periodic table web resource, Nature Chemistry’s “In Your Element” series, Royal Society of Chemistry’s periodic table.
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and chemists were losing interest. In the wake of reactor accidents such as Chernobyl, the future of nuclear power looked uncertain, and actinide research programs languished, says Jennifer C. Shafer at the Colorado School of Mines.

Now, a remarkable actinide renaissance is in full swing. “There has been large growth throughout academia and substantial reinvestment at the national laboratories in the US,” Albrecht-Schmitt says. Other countries, including the UK, China, and Russia, also have healthy actinide research communities, he adds: “I would say it has completely turned around.”

This resurgence is partly due to a greater recognition that nuclear power can help curb climate-changing carbon emissions, Shafer says. Understanding the chemistry of uranium, plutonium, and other actinides is crucial for improving how the world handles nuclear waste. But research topics vary as scientists march across the actinide row. Lighter elements like actinium and thorium have burgeoning medical uses, while those in the middle of the series have a much more complex and varied chemistry than researchers once thought. And sensitive spectroscopy methods, microscale synthesis techniques, and the growth of computing power for theoretical studies are helping researchers push deeper into exploring the shadowy realms toward the end of the series.

National labs have restarted their production of rare actinide isotopes to meet growing demand from chemists. “For the first time in more than two decades, there is competition for these isotopes,” Albrecht-Schmitt says.

Doctor actinide will see you now

Stosh A. Kozimor at Los Alamos National Laboratory says that one of the field’s most important research themes is the use of actinide radiopharmaceuticals to treat cancer and other diseases. Known as chelators that keep a strong hold on $^{225}$Ac once inside the patient to maximize the isotope’s impact on tumor cells.

Another promising isotope for targeted therapy is thorium-227, with a half-life of 18.7 days. Last year, Rebecca J. Abergel at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and pharmaceutical company Bayer unveiled a therapeutic agent consisting of a $^{212}$Th chelator based on four hydroxypyridinone (HOP) groups and an antibody called sipratuzumab that targets certain white blood cells (Inorg. Chem. 2018, DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b03430).

If targeted therapy takes off, clinicians will need a better supply of the isotopes. Most of the world’s $^{225}$Ac is extracted from $^{229}$Th decay products at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), and current global capacity could serve only a few hundred patients each year. ORNL is working with other national labs to develop an alternative production method, based on bombarding a $^{232}$Th target with high-energy protons. TRIUMF, Canada’s national particle accelerator center, is also pursuing this route. “I’m really excited about the emergence of actinides for medical applications,” Kozimor says. “It has the potential to dramatically impact people’s lives.”

A nuclear family

Despite their forays into the clinic, actinides are intrinsically linked with nuclear power and nuclear weapons. Actinide chemistry underpins nuclear fuel recycling and nuclear waste management; it is also vital in cleaning up the environmental damage left by poorly managed Cold War-era nuclear sites. “For decades we didn’t study these elements enough,” says Polly L. Arnold at the University of Edinburgh. “We need to know more about them to look after our waste legacy.”

One waste species that chemists want to get a better handle on is the uranyl ion ($^{238}$UO$_2^{2+}$). Formed from uranium in radioactive waste and mine tailings, it is highly soluble in water and spreads easily through
Chemists have two principal strategies to stop uranyl in its tracks, says Suzanne C. Bart at Purdue University. They can reduce uranium from its soluble +6 oxidation state to the less-soluble +5 or +4 states, forcing it to precipitate; and they can break uranyl’s strong uranium-oxygen bonds.

Bart has achieved both of these feats using iminoquinolone ligands that readily change their own oxidation states. When bound to $[\text{UO}_2]^{2+}$, the ligands help reagents such as acyl chlorides break into the uranium-oxygen bond, ultimately replacing oxygen with chloride ligands and reducing $\text{U}^{VI}$ to $\text{U}^{IV}$ in the process (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b1302).

“Uranyl generally doesn’t do a lot of redox chemistry,” Bart says. “But we found that if you load up the ligands with electrons, all the redox chemistry happens at the ligands and you can break the uranyl bonds completely.”

Lawrence Berkeley National Lab’s Abergel says that in the wake of the terrorist attacks on Sept. 11, 2001, concerns about a dirty-bomb attack have led researchers to work on a wide range of other decontamination strategies, including ligands that could sequester radioisotopes from the human body. Her team has spent the past decade developing nontoxic HOPO-based chelators that bind to ions such as $[\text{UO}_4]^{2-}$, $\text{Pu}^{4+}$, and $\text{Cm}^{3+}$. In tests on mice, these ligands enable excretion of the radioisotopes from the body. “We’ve manufactured an oral formulation that is ready to go into Phase I clinical trials, and we’re currently waiting for funding to start the trial, hopefully this year,” Abergel says.

In addition to helping sequester actinides in the body, ligands play a crucial role in reprocessing nuclear fuel. The standard method uses the ligand tributyl phosphate to form complexes with uranium and plutonium, which are then isolated through a series of extractions between organic and aqueous phases. Known as the PUREX process, the technique can provide enough fresh $^{235}\text{U}$ and $^{239}\text{Pu}$ to squeeze 25–35% extra energy from the original uranium in a fuel rod. “You can extend the lifetime of usable fissile material for a long, long time,” says Melissa Denecke, who has just become division director for physical and chemical science at the International Atomic Energy Agency.

But PUREX also generates a lot of highly radioactive liquid waste containing a smorgasbord of other actinides and lanthanides. Any waste that includes $^{237}\text{Np}$, for example, which has a 2-million-year half-life, poses a long-term problem. So researchers are developing other ligands to manipulate the solubility and oxidation states of these actinides, which could eventually help separate them and reduce the burden on waste repositories.

Covalent surprises

As researchers progress along the actinide row toward increasing atomic masses, their journey becomes increasingly arduous. Beyond curium, researchers quickly reach the final frontier of what one might call normal chemistry. “Californium is the last element you’ll ever get a milligram of,” Albrecht-Schmitt says. “And einsteinium is the last element you’ll ever grow a crystal from.”

Despite these challenges, researchers are using the midactinides to investigate fundamental chemical trends in the series. In general, early actinides, like uranium, neptunium, and plutonium, behave more like transition metals than like the lanthanides above them; they can access a wider variety of oxidation states and are able to form somewhat covalent bonds with their ligands. In contrast, later actinides tend to act much more like lanthanides, preferring +3 or +2 oxidation states and eschewing covalency.

The big question is, Whereabouts in the series does one behavior segue into the other? If ligands could be used to exploit or even shift that turning point, it might offer a valuable strategy for separating actinides from their lanthanide counterparts—for instance, in used fuel. “It’s probably the single most discussed topic in the literature,” Albrecht-Schmitt says.

Several competing factors underlie the actinides’ bonding trends. For example, as the positive charge of the nucleus increases across the series, it pulls ever harder on the outlying 5f orbital. This gradually lowers the orbital’s energy and stabilizes the +3 oxidation state.

But the immense nuclear charge also delivers a relativistic surprise. The atom’s innermost electrons travel so fast that they approach the speed of light and gain mass. This causes certain s and p orbitals to contract, which increases their shielding of the nuclear charge. That loosens the nucleus’s hold on the outermost electrons, allowing 5f and 6d orbitals to expand a little. The upshot is that these orbitals can sometimes extend far enough to mix with ligand orbitals, allowing a degree of covalent bonding. In some cases that may amount to just a few percent of what is largely an ionic interaction—think of it as a “covalent assist”—but it could be enough to tip the scales in a finely balanced separation process.

Over the past few years, researchers have found that some ligands can encourage covalency in late actinides. For example, Albrecht-Schmitt has made californium(III) complexes with polyborate (Nat. Chem. 2014, DOI: 10.1038/nchem.1896) and dipicolinate ligands (Nat. Commun. 2015, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7837) that showed signs of covalency in their bonds.

But berkelium posed an obvious gap in these studies. Most of the world’s supply of berkelium is allocated to the targets used to synthesize superheavy elements, and it is also harder to make in a nuclear reactor than californium, leaving little berkelium for chemists to experiment with. A few years ago, though, Albrecht-Schmitt and Shafer managed to get 13 mg of $^{249}\text{Bk}$ from ORNL. “That was the largest sample of berkelium that had been released for chemical investigation in at least 30 years,” Shafer says. They used it to make dipicolinate and borate complexes, producing the
first-ever single-crystal structures of Bk111 compounds (Science 2016, DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf3762).

Filling the berkelium gap allowed Shafer to directly compare the americium, curium, berkelium, and californium dipicolinates (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b03251). “The trend we saw was that the binding of dipicolinic acid with californium and berkelium was stronger than with americium and curium,” she says. In other words, the two heavier actinides get a greater covalent assist than the lighter pair.

This is because the degree of covalency isn’t determined only by how much an actinide’s 5f orbitals are spread out, explains Shafer—it also depends on how well the energies of the metal and ligand orbitals match up. Understanding how all these effects shape actinide complexes should help chemists be more strategic in designing ligands that can snatch them from complicated mixtures of other elements.

Ephemeral elements

After einsteinium, actinide chemistry hits a whole new level of impossible. Fermium can be produced only in nanogram quantities, and its longest-lived isotope, 257Fm, has a half-life of 100 days. Mendele-